



#15

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

I hereby certify pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)(1) that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

on 2 March, 2004.


Donald E. Schreiber

Dated: 2 March, 2004

Donald E. Schreiber
A Professional Corporation
Post Office Box 64150
Sunnyvale, CA 94088-4150
(408) 541-9168

Serial No. : 09/429,174
Applicants : Jung-Chih Huang, et al.
Filed : October 28, 1999
Title : PRE-BOOT SECURITY CONTROLLER
TC/A.U. : 2134
Examiner : Christopher J. Brown

Confirmation No. (None)

Docket No. : 2139
Customer No.: 23320

RECEIVED

MAR 09 2004

Technology Center 2100

Commissioner for Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

This communication, which duplicates an identical response that was dispatched to the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") on March 1, 2004, is submitted in response to an Office Action dated January 14, 2004.

Appl. No. 09/429,174

Response Dated March 2, 2004

Reply to Office Action Dated January 14, 2004

Introductory Remarks

All rejections of claims set forth in the Office Action dated January 14, 2004, rely, either in whole or in part, upon combining:

1. United States Patent no. 4,604,708 entitled "Electronic Security System for Electronically Powered Devices" that issued on August 5, 1986, on a patent application filed by Gainer R. Lewis ("the Lewis patent"); with
2. United States Patent no. 5,251,304 entitled "Integrated Circuit Microcontroller With On-Chip Memory and External Bus Interface and Programmable Mechanism for Securing the Contents of On-Chip Memory" which issued on a patent application filed in the names of James M. Sibigtroth, Michael W. Rhoades, George G. Grimmer, Jr. and Susan W. Longwell ("the Sibigtroth, et al. patent").

As established by the accompanying "Declaration of Brian Oh" and as explained in greater detail below, combining the disclosure of the Sibigtroth, et al. patent with that of the Lewis patent:

1. does not increase the illusion of security provided by the invention disclosed in the Lewis patent; and
2. in one way of combining the disclosures, produces a combination which:
 - a. does not meet all the limitations expressly set forth in independent claim 1; and

Appl. No. 09/429,174

Response Dated March 2, 2004

Reply to Office Action Dated January 14, 2004

- b. is inoperable for the intended purpose expressly
set forth in the Lewis patent.

Appl. No. 09/429,174

Response Dated March 2, 2004

Reply to Office Action Dated January 14, 2004

AMENDMENTS

There are no **Amendments to the Specification.**

There are no **Amendments to the Claims.**

There are no **Amendments to the Abstract of the Disclosure.**

There are no **Amendments to the Drawings.**

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 5 of this Response.